A Note on the Nonstationary Binary Choice Logit Model

Emmanuel Guerre and Hyungsik Roger Moon

USC Center for Law, Economics & Organization Research Paper No. C02-3



CENTER FOR LAW, ECONOMICS AND ORGANIZATION RESEARCH PAPER SERIES

Sponsored by the John M. Olin Foundation

University of Southern California Law School Los Angeles, CA 90089-0071

This paper can be downloaded without charge from the Social Science Research Network electronic library at http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=xxxxxx

A Note on the Nonstationary Binary Choice Logit Model

Emmanuel Guerre Hyungsik Roger Moon* LSTA (Université Paris 6) University of Southern California

February 2002

Abstract

This paper derives the rate and the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of the parameter of a logit model with a nonstationary covariate when the true parameter is zero. The limit distribution of the t-statistic is also given.

Keywords: binary choice model; nonstationary covariates.

JEL Classification number: C22, C25.

1 Introduction

Suppose that the observed data $(y_t, x'_t)'$ is generated by

$$y_t = 1 \{ y_t^* > 0 \},$$

$$y_t^* = \beta'_0 x_t - \varepsilon_t, \ t = 1, ..., n.$$
(1)

The model (1) is the standard binary choice model. When the regressor x_t and the error term ε_t are stationary, it is well known that under regularity conditions the maximum likelihood estimator(MLE) of β_0 is \sqrt{n} – consistent and asymptotically normal. However, if the regressors x_t are nonstationary, the MLE of β_0 do not have these standard asymptotic properties. Recently, Park and Phillips (2000) consider the model (1) in which the regressors x_t are nonstationary, *i.e.*,

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + u_t$$

and coefficient β_{0} is not zero, i.e.,

$$\beta_0 \neq 0.$$

^{*}Corresponding Author. Address: KAP 300, Department of Economics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089. Tel: 213-740-2108. Email: moonr@usc.edu

Under regularity conditions, Park and Phillips (2000) obtain dual convergence rates for the MLE. In an orthogonal direction to β_0 , the MLE converges to a mixed normal random variable at a rate of $n^{3/4}$ while in all other directions, the convergence rate is $n^{1/4}$.

In this note, we derive the asymptotic properties of the MLE of β_0 when the true β_0 is zero. The model we are considering in this note is quite simple. We assume that (i) x_t is univariate, (ii) $u_t \sim iid(0, 1)$, (iii) ε_t are iid over t with the logistic distribution $P\left\{\varepsilon_t < x\right\} = \Lambda\left(x\right) = \frac{e^x}{1+e^x}$, (iv) u_t and ε_s are independent for all t and s. These restrictive assumptions are made to make derivations of asymptotics simple and short. The assumptions in this note can be relaxed at a cost of lengthy calculations and derivations.

The main result we find in this paper is that when the true β_0 is zero and the regressor of the nonstationary binary choice is nonstationary, the MLE is *n*-consistent and its limit distribution is similar to so-called the unit root limit distribution. This main result will be derived in the Section 2.

2 Results

The MLE $\hat{\beta}$ maximizes the following log-likelihood function,

$$l_{n}(\beta) = \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{t=1}^{\mathbf{X}} y_{t} \log \Lambda \left(\beta x_{t}\right) + \underbrace{}_{t=1}^{\mathbf{X}} \left(1 - y_{t}\right) \log \left(1 - \Lambda \left(\beta x_{t}\right)\right).$$

As well known, the log-likelihood function $l_n(\beta)$ is smooth and strictly concave with respect to the parameter β . Thus, at the MLE $\hat{\beta}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial l_n \hat{\beta}}{\partial \beta} = 0$$

Define

$$S_{n}(\beta) = \frac{\partial l_{n}(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \bigvee_{t=1}^{\mathbf{A}} x_{t} \left(y_{t} - \Lambda \left(\beta x_{t} \right) \right), \text{ the score function}$$

and

$$J_n(\beta) = \frac{\partial^2 l_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} = -\frac{\varkappa}{t=1} \dot{\Lambda}(\beta x_t) x_t^2, \text{ the hessian function},$$

where

$$\dot{\Lambda}\left(x\right) = \frac{e^x}{\left(1 + e^x\right)^2}$$

Now, we find the limit distributions of the score function $S_n(\beta)$ and the hessian function $J_n(\beta)$ at the true parameter $\beta_0 = 0$. For this, let $e_t = y_t - \Lambda(0) =$

 $1 \{\varepsilon_t < 0\} - \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $e_t \sim iid^{i}0, \frac{1}{4}^{c}$. By the conventional functional central limit theorem, we have \tilde{A} \Box I -

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \underbrace{\mathsf{P}_{[nr]}}_{t=1} e_t \\ \frac{x_{[nr]}}{\sqrt{n}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{!} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{\mu} \\ \Rightarrow \end{array} \underbrace{\overset{1}{2} B_e(r)}_{B_u(r)} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \P, \end{array}$$

where $B_{e}(r)$ and $B_{u}(r)$ are two independent Brownian motions. Using this, it is easy to find that

$$\frac{1}{n}S_{n}\left(0\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{N} x_{t}e_{t} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sum_{0}^{Z} B_{u}\left(r\right) dB_{e}\left(r\right),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^2} J_n(0) = -\frac{1}{n^2} \bigwedge_{t=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \dot{\Lambda}(0) x_t^2 \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\mathbb{Z}} B_u(r)^2 dr.$$
(2)

By the mean value theorem,

$$0 = \frac{S_n \ \hat{\beta}}{n} = \frac{S_n (0)}{n} + \frac{J_n (0)}{n^2} n\hat{\beta} + \frac{A_n i_{\beta^+} c_{-J_n (0)}}{n^2} n\hat{\beta}$$

where β^+ locates between zero and $\hat{\beta}$. Suppose that for some $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(J_n\left(\beta\right) - J_n\left(0\right) \right) \right] = o_p\left(1\right).$$
(3)

Then, by Theorem 10.1 in Wooldridge (1994), $n\hat{\beta} = O_p(1)$ and

$$\hat{n\beta} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}S_{n}(0)}{-\frac{1}{n^{2}}J_{n}(0)} + o_{p}(1)
\mu Z_{1} \qquad \P_{-1}Z_{1}
\Rightarrow 2 B_{u}(r)^{2} dr \qquad B_{u}(r) dB_{e}(r).$$
(4)

To prove (3), notice by the mean value theorem that

$$= \sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(J_n(\beta) - J_n(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(0) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(\tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) - \tilde{A}_{t}(\beta) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}}$$

where $\ddot{\Lambda}(x) = \frac{e^x}{(1+e^x)^2} - \frac{2e^x}{(1+e^x)^3}$ and β^{++} locates between zero and β . Since since $|\beta| < \frac{1}{n^{1-\delta}}$ and $\ddot{\Lambda}(x)^- \le 3$, $\sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \overset{\tilde{\Lambda}}{\underset{t=1}{\times}} x_t^3 \beta \ddot{\Lambda}^{\dagger} \beta^{++} x_t \overset{\tilde{\Gamma}}{\underset{t=1}{\times}} \le 3 \sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \frac{1}{n^{3-3\delta}} \overset{\tilde{\Lambda}}{\underset{t=1}{\times}} |x_t|^3.$ Choose $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$. Then, $\sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \frac{1}{n^{3-3\delta}} \bigvee_{t=1}^{n} |x_t|^3 = o_p(1)$, and in consequence,

$$\sup_{|n^{1-\delta}\beta| \le 1} \left[\frac{1}{n^{2-2\delta}} \left(J_n(\beta) - J_n(0) \right) \right] = o_p(1),$$

as required for (3). As a summary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Under the assumptions in the previous section, if $\beta_0 = 0$, then

$$n\hat{\beta} \Rightarrow 2 \begin{array}{c} \mu Z_{1} & \P_{-1} Z_{1} \\ B_{u}(r)^{2} dr & B_{u}(r) dB_{e}(r) \\ & \Phi_{A} \mu Z_{1} & \Pi_{-1}! \\ \equiv MN & 0, 4 & B_{u}(r)^{2} dr \\ \end{array}$$

where notation " \equiv " signifies equivalence in distribution and MN denotes a mixed normal distribution.

Notice that in a univariate nonstationary binary choice model studied by Park and Phillips (2000), when the true parameter $\beta_0 \neq 0$, the MLE is $n^{1/4}$ consistent and its limit distribution is mixed normal. When the true parameter $\beta_0 = 0$, Theorem 1 shows that the MLE has a faster convergence rate n and its limit distribution is similar to so-called "the unit root distribution". In our note, since we assume that u_t and ε_s are independent, the limit distribution is also mixed normal.

The conventional t-statistic in this case is

$$t = \frac{\beta}{-J_n \quad \hat{\beta}}.$$

By (3) and (2), we have 3×3

$$-\frac{J_n \ \hat{\beta}}{n^2} = -\frac{J_n(0)}{n^2} + o_p(1) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\mathbb{Z}} B_u(r)^2 dr.$$

Thus,

$$t=\frac{\hat{\beta}}{-J_{n}\quad\hat{\beta}}\Rightarrow N\left(0,1\right).$$

Summarizing this, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2 Under the assumptions in the previous section, if $\beta_{\rm 0}=0,$ then

$$t = \frac{\beta}{-J_n \quad \hat{\beta}} \Rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

References

- [1] Park, J. and P.C.B. Phillips (2000): Nonstationary Binary Choice, Econometrica, 68, 1249–1280.
- [2] Wooldridge, J. (1994): Estimation and Inference for Dependent Processes, Handbook of Econometrics, Vol 4, ed. by R. Engle and D. McFadden, Amsterdam, North Holland.