Taking the initiative

An international research center brings the study of the initiative, referendum and recall to USC

Does the increasing presence of initiatives, referendums and recalls on the ballot represent the reawakening of politics by the people, for the people, or the death of the legislative process?

With the arrival of the Initiative and Referendum Institute (IRI), USC Law is poised to lead the discussion on the impact of direct democracy on politics as usual. IRI, the nation’s most prominent educational and research organization focused on direct democracy, moved to USC earlier this year and is now affiliated with the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics (CSLP). Together, the organizations form the nation’s leading center for the study of the initiative, referendum and recall.

Founded in 1998 in Leesburg, Va., IRI is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization dedicated to tracking political trends on its award-winning Web site and through its Ballotwatch service. IRI provides expert opinion for journalists and policymakers, hosts international conferences, and publishes policy monographs, reference books and scholarly work.

John Matsusaka, professor of finance and business economics and law at USC, has been named IRI’s president. IRI’s founder, M. Dane Waters, was named chairman and head of the institute’s East Coast office.

USC Law Professor Elizabeth Garrett, director of the CSLP, serves on IRI’s board of directors.

Dear Colleagues,

What an incredible year 2004 has been at USC Law School.

Our 2004 entering class is the best in our history, with a median LSAT score of 166 and a median GPA of 3.66. We welcomed the Initiative and Referendum Institute, a nationally known clearinghouse for research on direct democracy, to USC Law. We are embarking on two construction projects — a classy new café and a major renovation of our library, which will result in a beautiful, modernized space for research and collaboration.

And our faculty continues to strengthen. We welcomed two exceptional new faculty members. Martha Matthews, formerly of the Los Angeles ACLU, will head our Domestic Violence Clinic. Jennifer Urban came from U.C. Berkeley Boalt Hall School of Law to establish USC’s new Intellectual Property Clinic. Together with other recent hires — Elizabeth Garrett (University of Chicago Law School), Gillian Hadfield (University of Toronto Law School), and Andrei Marmor (Tel-Aviv University and the Interdisciplinary Center),
New faculty

ACLU lawyer joins USC Law faculty, heads clinic

Martha Matthews, formerly the Bohnest Staff Attorney at the American Civil Liberties Union of Southern California, is the new director of the USC Law School Domestic Violence Clinic.

Matthews joins USC after 14 years of public interest work on behalf of youth and low-income families. As clinical professor and directing attorney of the university’s Domestic Violence Clinic (DVC), she oversees law students in providing legal services to survivors of domestic violence. She also develops and implements educational programs for students, as well as training programs for child protective services workers, attorneys, and other professionals in family and dependency court.

“I enjoy working with students, and I hope that part of what I will do at USC will help in the development of a new generation of lawyers who are committed to doing public interest work, either as a job or through pro bono efforts,” Matthews said.

DVC is the only clinical legal program in Los Angeles County offering students the experience and training necessary to serve survivors of domestic violence. The clinic works with the USC School of Social Work, the Keck School of Medicine at USC, and social services agencies to provide a range of support services to domestic violence survivors and their families.

Prior to joining the ACLU in 2000, Matthews served as a staff attorney for the Oakland-based National Youth Law Center. Before that, she designed and directed a family advocacy program at Stanford Law School. Matthews received her J.D. in 1987 from the Boalt Hall School of Law at the University of California, Berkeley, and earned a B.A. in philosophy in 1984 from Swarthmore College in Pennsylvania.

— R.B.

IP specialist leaves Boalt Hall to establish clinic at USC

Jennifer Urban, a specialist in intellectual property law and policy, is director of the new USC Intellectual Property (IP) Clinic — a joint project of USC Law School, the USC Annenberg Center for Communication, and USC Information Services Division.

Beginning in the spring 2005 term, the IP Clinic will allow 2nd- and 3rd-year law students who have taken an introductory course in IP to work on cutting-edge public interest issues in intellectual property and technology law. Students will gain practical experience through such projects as helping “starving artists” register copyrights, working on open source licenses, and thinking through the complex public policy questions surrounding intellectual property in the digital age.

Urban comes from the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic at the University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall School of Law, one of the first IP law clinics in the country. At Boalt Hall, she first served as a fellow and lecturer before her appointment as visiting clinical professor of law.

“I’m thrilled to be a part of the growing IP public interest community and the emerging phenomenon of IP clinics,” Urban said. “This position gives me a wonderful opportunity to combine my enthusiasm for public interest law and intellectual property with my belief that clinical legal education is deeply valuable to students.”

At the Samuelson Clinic, Urban’s work included projects related to digital copyright, the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, open source licensing, Internet law, and privacy in a technological society.

IP specialist leaves Boalt Hall to establish clinic at USC

Jennifer Urban

Prior to teaching, Urban was an attorney with the IP division of Venture Law Group in Menlo Park, Calif.

A first-generation college student, Urban earned a B.A. in biological science in 1997 from Cornell University and received her J.D. in 2000 from Boalt Hall.

— R.B.

New courtesy appointments

USC Law School’s interdisciplinary focus means faculty of other schools and departments on campus are often integrally involved in research and teaching at the Law School. For this reason, the Law School extends courtesy appointments to faculty of other disciplines. Receiving new or renewed courtesy appointments this year are:

Clifford Ando, Associate Professor of Classics and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of Classics

Geoffrey Cowan, Dean and Professor of Journalism and Law; Annenberg School for Communication

Timur Kuran, Professor of Economics and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of Economics

Sharon A. Lloyd, Associate Professor of Philosophy and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of Philosophy

W. Bentley MacLeod, Professor of Economics and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of Economics

John G. Matussaka, Professor of Business and Law; Marshall School of Business, Department of Finance and Business Economics

Kevin J. Murphy, Professor of Business and Law; Marshall School of Business, Department of Finance and Business Economics

John Ralph, Professor of Business and Law; Marshall School of Business, Department of Information and Operations Management

Hilary M. Schor, Professor of English and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of English

Mark I. Weinstein, Associate Professor of Business and Law; Marshall School of Business, Department of Finance and Business Economics

Gideon D. Yaffe, Assistant Professor of Philosophy and Law; College of Letters, Arts and Sciences, Department of Philosophy
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Dean’s Message

(continued from page 1)

Hertzlia, Israel — these new professors bring new depth and breadth to an already outstanding faculty.

On a less happy note, we bid farewell to Professors Erwin Chemerinsky and Catherine Fisk, who left Los Angeles for the quieter streets of Durham, N.C., and Duke Law School. Though we miss them, we respect their decision and wish them all the best.

And I wish you all the best in this new academic year.

Dean Matthew L. Spitzer
Dean and Carl M. Franklin Professor of Law
Reforming the vote

Book examines pitfalls of voting system

When USC professors Ann Estrich and Edward McCaffery began compiling a book on the politics and prospects of American election reform, they used the 2000 presidential race as a starting point. Little did they know that three years later, California’s controversial recall election would offer several similarities. And as another election season winds up, it is clear that the role of the vote — and the debate that goes with it — is not going away.

The dozens of essays included in Rethinking the Vote: The Politics and Prospects of American Election Reform (Oxford University Press, 2004) are attempts to learn from the past and offer possible solutions for the future. Some 22 scholars — including USC Law Professor Susan Estrich, political scientist Jeb Barnes, and McCaffery and Crigler — weighed in on the pitfalls of and problems with America’s voting system.

The contributors offer a variety of viewpoints on the drama of Bush v. Gore and the American electoral system in general. They also discuss what may be learned from voting in America and around the world. Opinions range from those who want a truly participatory democracy, in which all votes count, to those who believe in a more minimalist approach, looking for rough justice in voting and voting systems, said McCaffery. McCaffery edited the book with Crigler, a USC professor of political science, and Marion R. Just of Wellesley College.

Some of the contributors found a racial and ethnic bias in the pattern of voting machines and vote-counting errors, which made a difference in the count, effectively disenfranchising groups of voters. Another chapter suggests that bias in voting results can occur simply because of the way candidates are listed on the ballot. Other contributors looked at the pres-
Selected faculty activities for the 2003-04 year

Jody D. Armour, Roy P. Crocker
Professor of Law, presented “‘Hip Hop Culture and the Law’” at a Stanford Law School symposium and “Race Jurisprudence and the Supreme Court: Where Do We Go from Here?” at the University of Pennsylvania Law School. He debated the legality and morality of racial profiling with Richard Banks at a Stanford Law Review forum and spoke to the Western Law Center for Disability Rights about “The Nature and Scope of Unconscious Bias Against Socially Marginalized Groups.” He is conducting courses on the legacy of Brown v. Board of Education for California judges under the auspices of the Continuing Judicial Studies Program of the Center for Judicial Education and Research. Armour has appeared as a legal analyst on ABC News and CNN concerning the cases of Michael Jackson, Kobe Bryant and News and CNN concerning the cases. He appeared as a legal analyst on ABC, “Mouth to Mouth, Person to Person,” at a faculty workshop at USC Law School and gave a paper, “Two Concepts of Church Autonomy,” at a conference on church autonomy at Brigham Young University Law School.

Ronald R. Garet, Carolyn Craig
Franklin Professor of Law and Religion, is a member of the university’s strategic planning committee. He spoke to the USC board of trustees about the strategic plan and co-chaired the task force on external relations. This year he is working with the academic senate, working with the assembly of the faculty began on July 1. He presented his paper, “Racial Identity on Trial in America: A History,” with the support of the Guggenheim Foundation, the American Council of Learned Societies and the NEH. She spoke about her research at a number of professional conferences and law schools, including Harvard and the University of Chicago. Garet organized the program for the American Society of Legal History Annual Meeting in October 2003 in Washington, D.C., and helped organize the USC Center for Law, History and Culture’s third Law & Humanities Interdisciplinary Junior Scholars Workshop.

Elizabeth Garrett, professor of law, was named to the editorial board of the Election Law Journal and the advisory board of a new Social Science Research Network journal, Legislation and Statutory Interpretation. She spoke at schools around the country on issues ranging from the California recall election to campaign finance reform. Garrett talked about ballot issues likely to be factors in the November 2004 elections at the Western Knight Center’s seminar for journalists on “Covering Campaign Finance: From the Checkbook to the Ballot Box.” Garrett continued to appear as a legal analyst on Fox News and published columns in the Indianapolis Star discussing issues related to California’s recall election, the 2004 presidential race, the war in Iraq and the Kobe Bryant rape case.

Jody D. Armour, Roy P. Crocker
 Continued on page 7

Dudiak is co-editor of a law-related special issue of American Quarterly, the journal of the American Studies Association, which will focus on “Legal Borderlands: Law and the Construction of American Borders” and will be published in September 2005. A symposium on the topic was held in September 2004 at the Hart Institute for American History at Pomona College. Dudiak was an Ida Cordelia Beam Distinguished Visiting Professor at the University of Iowa, and she spoke at colleges and universities around the country and in England about issues related to the history of civil rights reform. She also presented lectures at a number of events around the country related to the 50th anniversary of Brown v. Board of Education, including a Library of Congress symposium marking the event with remembrances from the journalists who covered it. She was appointed to the editorial board of the Election Law Journal and to the advisory board of a new Social Science Research Network journal, Legislation and Statutory Interpretation. She spoke at schools around the country on issues ranging from the California recall election to campaign finance reform. Garrett talked about ballot issues likely to be factors in the November 2004 elections at the Western Knight Center’s seminar for journalists on “Covering Campaign Finance: From the Checkbook to the Ballot Box.” She organized and moderated a panel on "Congressional Oversight of the Regulatory State" at the Association of American Law Schools conference in Atlanta. The panel was sponsored by the AALS Section on Legislation, which Garrett chaired this past year. She presented her paper, “Conditions for Framework Laws,” at a conference on legislators in Banff, Canada. Garrett is serving on the faculty committee of the USC Urban Initiative.

Artelia J. Gosts, professor of law and history, spent 2003-2004 on leave as a fellow at the Huntington Research Library, working on a book called “Racial Identity on Trial in America: A History,” with the support of the Guggenheim Foundation, the American Council of Learned Societies and the NEH. She spoke about her research at a number of professional conferences and law schools, including Harvard and the University of Chicago. Gost organized the program for the American Society of Legal History Annual Meeting in October 2003 in Washington, D.C., and helped organize the USC Center for Law, History and Culture’s third Law & Humanities Interdisciplinary Junior Scholars Workshop.

David B. Cruz, professor of law, was named one of the Los Angeles Daily Journal’s Top 20 Under 40, a list of California’s top young legal professionals, for scholarly achievements and activism in civil rights and gay and lesbian issues. He co-authored an amicus brief before the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts on behalf of the plaintiffs in Goodridge v. Department of Public Health, the decision that led to marriage rights for same-sex couples in Massachusetts. Cruz joined the faculty advisory committee of the Charles R. Williams Project on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy at the UCLA School of Law and the National Advisory Committee of Equal Justice Works (formerly NAPIL). He was widely quoted by the media throughout the year on issues related to same-sex marriage, including appearances on the “Dennis Miller Show” and CNN’s “Headline News.”

Mary L. Dudiak. Judge Edward J. and Ruary L. Guirado Professor of Law and History, was awarded a Rockefeller Foundation Residency at the Rockefeller Study and Conference Center in Bellagio, Italy, for four weeks in July. She was in residence with 14 other scholars and artists from around the world. The fellowship allowed her to work on her manuscript, “Exporting American Dreams: Thurgood Marshall and the Constitution of Kenya.”

From left: Armour, Crocker, Cruz, Dudiak, Estrich, Garrett

Daniel M. Klerman, professor of law and history, presented “The Value of Judicial Independence: Evidence from 18th-Century England,” at the 16th
British & Irish Legal History Conference in Dublin, Ireland. He presented the same paper at the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Law & Economics Summer Institute, at Stanford Law School’s law and economics seminar, at UCLA’s legal history workshop, and at the annual meeting of the American Law and Economics Association. He also spoke at the American Society for Legal History’s annual meeting and at Harvard Law School’s seminar on “The History of Capitalism.”

George Lefcow, Florentine and Ervin Yoder Professor of Real Estate Law, discussed public/private partnerships in urban redevelopment as part of a panel on the real estate transactions section at the Association of American Law Schools conference in Atlanta. He was elected unanimously to membership in the American College of Real Estate Lawyers, an invitation-only association of outstanding real estate practitioners.

Thomas D. Lyon, professor of law, received a $239,000 grant from the National Science Foundation for research he’s conducting with Oberlin College psychology professor William Friedman on the development of children’s memory for temporal information. Friedman on the development of children’s memory for temporal information. He spoke on “Stranger Danger and the False Denial of Acquaintance Abuse” in a symposium at the annual meeting of the American Academy for the Advancement of Science and presented two research talks at the annual conference of the American Psychology and Law Society.

Edward J. McCaffery, Robert C. Packard Trustee Professor of Law, presented ideas outlined in his book, Fair Nix Flat, to the Joint Economic Committee of Congress panel on Rethinking the Tax Code. He also spoke at a session at the U.S. Treasury Department about the estate tax. He published an essay based on that presentation, “A Tax Reform to Die For,” in the Wall Street Journal. He spoke at the National Tax Association annual meeting in Chicago and at the Rand Institute for Civil Justice workshop. McCaffery co-chaired the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics’ second conference on Behavioral Public Finance, held this year at the University of Michigan business school. Papers presented at the conference — two of which were McCaffery’s — will be published in a book, Behavioral Public Finance, co-edited by McCaffery.

Jean Rosenbluth, director of legal writing and adjunct professor of law, was the lead attorney for the government in a victory in a terrorism case before an 11-judge en banc panel of the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals. The case involved attempts by two convicted terrorists to become citizens of the United States and avoid deportation. In a unanimous decision, the panel reversed the district court on numerous issues raised by the government on appeal. Rosenbluth also served as one of the academic contributors to the recently published Eighth Edition of Black’s Law Dictionary.

Elyn R. Saks, Oram B. Evans Professor of Law, Psychology, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, received the 2003 USC Awards for Creativity in Research, which honored her research on law and mental health. She also received the Phi Kappa Phi Faculty Recognition Award for her recent book, Refusing Care: Forced Treatment and the Rights of the Mentally Ill. Refusing Care also received positive reviews in the New England Journal of Medicine, the Journal of the American Medical Association, the British Journal of Psychiatry, and the Times Literary Supplement, which praised it as “an erudite and academically impeccable argument.” Saks also was commissioned by the Institute of Medicine to write two papers with University of California, San Diego, Psychiatry Professor Dilip Jeste; the papers will address issues related to capacity to consent to treatment and decisional capacity in mental illness and substance abuse disorders.

Michael H. Shapiro, Doreothy W. Nelson Professor of Law, spoke at the University of Judaism on “The Technology of Perfection and the Perfection of Technology.” He presented “Ethical, Political, and Legal Issues in Bioethics” at the USC 2003 Science and Technology Seminar and spoke at a conference on “Personal Identity, Social Philosophy and Policy Center” at Bowling Green State University, Ohio.

Dan Simon, professor of law, was awarded a $351,000 research grant from the National Science Foundation to study cognitive processes involved in decision making and reasoning. The experimentation will be done in collaboration with UCLA Psychology Professor Keith J. Holyoak and USC Psychology Professor Stephen J. Read. Simon presented his research on applications of cognitive psychology to law at the annual meetings of the Law and Society Association, the American Psychological Society, and the Association of American Law Schools.

Edwin M. Smith, Leon Benwell Professor of Law and International Relations, was a presenter in a regional program of the United Nations Association of the United States, where he spoke on the role of the United Nations in the post-Cold War world. He presented “Ralph Bunche: Accidental Visionary,” which discusses the mediation of the armistices that terminated the first Arab-Israeli War in 1949, at Whittier Law School’s Center for International and Comparative Law and at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association.

Nomi M. Stolzenberg, Nathan and Lilly Shapell Professor of Law, presented “The American Shtetl: The Case of Kiryas Joel,” as part of the USC Hilldun lunchtime speaker series and participated in a conference on the topic of “Is Religion (as a Legal Category) Obsolete?” at the University of San Diego Institute for Law and Philosophy. She presented a paper, “What is the Real Legal Issue in Kiryas Joel?” at the annual conference of the Association for Jewish Studies in Boston, as part of a panel on “Kiryas Joel, An American Shtetl.”

Christopher D. Stone, J. Thomas McCarthy Trustee Professor of Law, presented “Is there a Precautionary Principle?” at the American Branch of the International Law Association’s International Law Weekend. He also spoke about vessel buy-backs at an international conference sponsored by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the University of California, San Diego.

Eric L. Talley, professor of law, received (as a co-principal investigator) a three-year $1.5 million grant from the Kaufman Foundation for his part-time work as senior economist at the RAND Corporation’s Institute for Civil Justice. The grant will launch the RAND/IJC Kaufman Center for the Study of Small Business and Regulation. Talley spoke at a conference on “International and Empirical Bases for the Law Merchant” at the University of Chicago and a conference on “Sentiment as and Incentive for Ex Ante Behaviors” at the Georgetown University Law Center.

Charles H. Whitebread, George T. and Harriet E. Pfleger Professor of Law, presented a discussion of recent decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court to judges and attorneys around the country, including the Minnesota Criminal Justice Institute, the Arizona Prosecutors Association, the North American Judges Association, the Wisconsin Public Defenders, the Oregon State Bar, the Mississippi Judicial College, the Oklahoma Judges Annual Convention, and the Georgia Judges Conference, among others. He gave his first-year presentation on exam-taking techniques to more than 65 schools around the country, including NYU, Boston University, Harvard, Georgetown, the University of Virginia, Stanford, the University of Minnesota, Berkeley and Rutgers.
Two USC Law professors whose individual research activities investigate the crossroads of law and psychology have received grants from the National Science Foundation. Professor Dan Simon was awarded $351,000 to continue a project designed to determine how people—especially judges, jurors and litigants—process information and make decisions. Meanwhile, Professor Tom Lyon’s $239,000 NSF grant will be used to study how children—specifically child witnesses—recall the times of past events. The following outlines each professor’s research.

Project: “Coherence-Based Decision Making: A Theoretical Framework and Practical Applications”

Investigators: Dan Simon, professor of law, USC; Keith Holyoak, professor of psychology, UCLA; Stephen Read, professor of psychology, USC

Dan Simon’s current work was sparked by his 1997 Harvard Law School S.J.D. dissertation, “From Conflict to Closure: The Bi-Directionality of Legal Reasoning,” which examines the cognitive aspects of judicial reasoning. In collaboration with psychologists Keith Holyoak and Stephen Read, Simon has gone on to develop a theoretical framework for understanding the cognitive processes that enable fluid, flexible and effective mental processing in complex tasks. According to the trio, tasks are considered complex when the variables are numerous, contradictory, ambiguous and incommensurate— as is the case in many legal decisions. Their current collaborative research continues a previous NSF-supported project that resulted in a dozen published articles, including Simon’s “A Third View of the Black Box: Cognitive Coherence in Legal Decision Making,” published this year by the University of Chicago Law Review.

Simon hopes their discoveries will contribute to improving the legal system—in finding ways, for example, to better handle jurors’ exposure to inimissible evidence (by identifying the factors that lead to failure to ignore information), and improve jury deliberation (so that decisions are based on the sharing of facts and persuasive arguments instead of pressures to conform with the group’s norms and other members’ preferences).

Project: “Collaborative Research: The Development of Memory for Temporal Information”

Investigators: Tom Lyon, professor of law, USC; William Friedman, professor of psychology, Oberlin College

All of Tom Lyon’s work centers on child witnesses and improving their performance in testimony. In his latest NSF-funded project, Lyon hopes to improve psychologists’, educators’, and legal professionals’ understanding of how children remember the times of past events.

This fall, Lyon and his team of research assistants are documenting testimony from more than 250 sex-abuse trial cases—including a large number of acquittals— involving children ages 4 to 14. Any questions related to time are then tabulated and studied; the goal is to systematically examine thousands of questions and determine how child witnesses interpreted them.

“Prosecuting attorneys tend to ask child witnesses a lot of questions involving specific dates,” Lyon says. “But kids have very poor time recollection, and they don’t have the ability to make inferences. When you ask a child temporal questions about abusive events that occur in secret, repeatedly, over a long period of time, the problems become immense. It sets up the child for failure.” At the same time Lyon and his co-principal investigator, psychologist William Friedman, are examining children’s performance in court, they also will be interviewing an ethnically diverse group of children to assess their abilities to recall the times of naturalistic events from the past three years. “This project is unique in that we’re not just doing field work but also asking questions in a lab,” Lyon says. “And although I find the theoretical issues interesting, I think the real payoff—the real bang for your buck—is going back in the field and helping to improve the system.” — R.B.
Confronting Workers’ Compensation Issues in California

Participants: John Burton (Rutgers University); Peter Barth (University of Connecticut); Leslie I. Boden (Boston University); Robert Reville (RAND Institute for Civil Justice); Allen Davenport (Service Employees International Union California State Council); Patrick Salas Pineda (New United Mother Manufacturing, Inc.); Tom Rankin (California Labor Federation); Liberty Reiter Sanchez (California Manufacturers and Technology Association); Allan Zaremberg (California Chamber of Commerce); Richards Barger (former insurance commissioner appointed by Gov. Ronald Reagan); John Garamendi (California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Steven Bank (University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Ehud Kamar and Lynn Stout (USC Law School); Zvi Wiener (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences); Joe Cerrell (Cerrell Associates); D. Bainbridge and Lynn Stout (University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Edward Rock (University of Pennsylvania Law School); Gudrun Johnsen (RAND Corporation); Stephen Choi (University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall School of Law); Steven Bank (University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Zvi Wiener (USC Marshall School of Business); Stephen Bainbridge and Lynn Stout (University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Ehud Kamar and Lynn Stout (USC Law School); John Burton (Rutgers University); Peter Barth (University of Connecticut); Leslie I. Boden (Boston University); Robert Reville (RAND Institute for Civil Justice); Allen Davenport (Service Employees International Union California State Council); Patrick Salas Pineda (New United Mother Manufacturing, Inc.); Tom Rankin (California Labor Federation); Liberty Reiter Sanchez (California Manufacturers and Technology Association); Allan Zaremberg (California Chamber of Commerce); Richards Barger (former insurance commissioner appointed by Gov. Ronald Reagan); John Garamendi (California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Steven Bank (University of California, Los Angeles, School of Law); Ehud Kamar and Lynn Stout (USC Law School); Zvi Wiener (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences).

Center for the Study of Law and Politics

Symposium On The Recall
(Sponsored by the USC Jesse M. Unruh Institute of Politics, the USC Annenberg School for Communication and the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics)

Participants: R. Michael Alvarez (Caltech), Sherry Biebich Jeffe (USC School of Policy, Planning and Development); Elizabeth Garrett (USC Law School); Judy Muller (USC Annenberg School for Communication); Alison Renteln (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences).

Post-Mortem On The Recall
(Sponsored by the USC Jesse M. Unruh Institute of Politics, the USC Annenberg School for Communication, the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics, the Initiative and Referendum Institute at USC, the League of Women Voters of Los Angeles, and the California Center for Education in Public Affairs)

Participants: George Gorton (director of the Schwarzenegger for Governor campaign); Susan Pinkus (Los Angeles Times Poll); Shawn Steel (Davis Recall); Arturo Vargas (National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials Education Fund); Thomas Hollihan (USC Annenberg School for Communication); Kerman Maddox (KCAL 9); Judy Miller (USC Annenberg School for Communication); Timothy Rutten (Los Angeles Times); Bill Boyarsky (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences); Joe Cerrell (Cerrell Associates); Joel Fox (Joel Fox Consulting); D. Bainbridge and Lynn Stout (USC Law School); Zvi Wiener (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences); Joe Cerrell (Cerrell Associates); D. Bainbridge and Lynn Stout (USC Law School); Zvi Wiener (USC College of Letters, Arts and Sciences).
Selected faculty publications (July 2003 – June 2004)


Murphy, Kevin J. “Stock-Based Pay in New Economy Firms.” 34 Journal of Accounting & Economics 129 (2003).


Stone, Christopher D. Corporate Responsibility: Law and Ethics. (Bedoukian, 2004).


Election 2004: What does it mean for campaigns and governance?

A conference sponsored by the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics and the Initiative and Referendum Institute at USC

Keynote Address: Senator Bob Graham (D-FL)
Friday, Oct. 8, 2004
USC Law School

Participants: Larry Norton, General Counsel of the Federal Election Commission; D. Bruce La Pierre, Professor of Law, Washington University-St. Louis; David Magleby, Distinguished Professor of Political Science, BYU; Spencer Overton, Associate Professor of Law, George Washington University; Elizabeth Garrett, Professor of Law, USC; Garry South, Political Consultant; Tracy Gordon, Research Fellow, Public Policy Institute of California; John Matsusaka, Professor of Business and Law, USC; Daniel Smith, Associate Professor of Political Science, University of Florida; M. Dane Waters, Chairman of the Board, Initiative and Referendum Institute at USC; Mickey Edwards, Lecturer of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University; R. Michael Alvarez, Professor of Political Science, Caltech; Gary Jacobson, Professor of Political Science, University of California-San Diego; Janelle Wong, Assistant Professor of Political Science, USC; Ann Crigler, Professor of Political Science; USC; David Brock, President and CEO, Media Matters for America; John Fund, Editorial Board of the Wall Street Journal; Thomas Heilman, Professor and Associate Dean, Annenberg School for Communication, USC; Vincent Price, Steven H. Chaffee Professor of Communication and Political Science, University of Pennsylvania; D. Roderick Kiewiet, Professor of Political Science, Caltech.

For event information, please visit www.usc.edu/esvp (enter esvp #1008). For questions, contact Professor Elizabeth Garrett, director of the USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics, at egarrett@law.usc.edu or contact the center at (213) 821-1239.